

Statewide Codes and Standards

2022 Single Family New Construction Preliminary Results May 3, 2022



Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Agenda

- Introduction and Overview
- Cost-effectiveness Study
 - Methodology
 - Costs
 - 2022 Energy Code Results
 - Next Steps
- Initial Policy Considerations

Note: We will be recording the webinar; presentation and recording will be available online.



Program Objective:

Facilitate Adoption of Reach Codes



Prepare	Prepare cost-effectiveness analyses
Draft	Draft model language
Develop	Develop adoption and implementation resources and tools
Provide	Provide technical support to staff
Communicate	Communicate study results to stakeholders
Publish	Publish reach codes newsletter

Cost-effectiveness Analyses

Objective: Identify cost-effective, non-preempted measure packages

- Support widely applicable requirements potentially adopted anywhere in the state
- Two cost-effectiveness metrics: On-Bill and TDV
- Consistent with Title 24, Part 6
- Generally conservative assumptions.
- > The study is NOT:
 - > an example of best design practices or
 - > a list of specific measures required

2022 Single Family Code Compliance Metrics

Energy Design Ratings (three metrics – must comply with each)

- EDR1 Hourly Source Energy (proxy for GHG)
- EDR2 Time Dependent Valuation (TDV energy)
 - EDR2 Efficiency efficiency measures
 - EDR2 Total efficiency, PV, storage combined

Reach Code Policy Options

- Set requirements based on EDR margins (vs absolute values)
- Focus on EDR2 Efficiency to encourage better designs
 - EDR1 All-electric designs receive credit sufficient to allow reduction in efficiency
 - EDR2 Total Adding PV (or storage) reduces score (lower is better).



Methodology

Approach

- Consistent with other Statewide reach code studies
- Start with packages from 2019 new construction reach code analysis
- 2022 prescriptive requirements as starting point
- CBECC-Res 2022 Beta version
- Updated measures & costs
- Cost-effectiveness evaluated over 30-year analysis period based on
 - On-Bill
 - Time Dependent Valuation (TDV)

Residential Building Prototypes

- <u>Single Family (SF)</u>: Blended 2,400 ft²
 - -50% 1-story / 2100 ft²
 - 50% 2-story / 2700 ft²



F

Analysis Baseline

- 2022 Prescriptive requirements as starting point
 - Slab on grade
 - Vented attic
 - Heat pump baseline
 - Minimum efficiency equipment
 - Ducted HVAC systems with ducts in attic
 - PV prescriptive standard
 - No change from 2019 sized to offset electric loads in mixed fuel home

Packages

All-Electric

- Prescriptive
- Efficiency
- Efficiency & NEEA HPWH
- Efficiency & PV
- Efficiency, PV, & Battery

Mixed-Fuel (2022 Baseline)

- Efficiency
- Efficiency & PV
- Efficiency, PV, & Battery

Efficiency Measures

Climate Zone	3 ACH50	R-10 Slab	0.25 Roof Solar Reflectance	0.24 U-Factor / 0.50 SHGC Windows	0.35 W/cfm Fan	Buried Ducts in Higher Attic Insulation	Basic Compact Hot Water Credit
1		Х				R-60	
2		Х			X	R-60	Х
3					Х	R-60	Х
4		Х			X	R-60	Х
5					X	R-49	Х
6					Х	R-60	Х
7						R-49	Х
8					Х	R-60	Х
9					Х	R-60	Х
10			X		Х	R-60	Х
11		X	X		Х	R-60	Х
12		X	X		Х	R-60	Х
13		Х	X		Х	R-60	Х
14	X	X	X		Х	R-60	Х
15		X	Х		Х	R-60	Х
16				Х	Х	R-60	



Cost Effectiveness

- Two methodologies
 - On-bill customer based
 - IOU TOU rates based on region + SMUD & CPAU
 - Escalation based CPUC En-Banc through 2030
 - Upgrades financed at 4% 30-yr mortgage
 - Time Dependent Valuation (TDV) per CEC approach
- 30-year evaluation period
- Net Present Value (NPV) & Benefit-to-Cost Ratio (BCR)

NPV = PV of benefit - PV of cost

$$BCR = \frac{PV \ of \ benefit}{PV \ of \ cost}$$



Envelope Measures

		Lifecycle	
Measure	Performance Level	Incremental Cost	Source & Notes
Reduced Infiltration	3.0 vs 5.0 ACH50	\$591	Beopt per sqft + \$250 HERS fee
High Performance Window (U-Factor/SHGC)	0.24/0.50 vs 0.30/0.35 (Cold Climate)	\$2,280	2019 Window Case report
Cool Roof - Aged Solar Reflectance	0.25 vs 0.20	\$219	2022 NR Envelope CASE report
Roof Deck Insulation	R-30 vs R-19	\$1,980	2019 CASE work, Beopt, & RSMeans
	R-49 vs R-30	\$872	
Attic Insulation	R-60 vs R-30	\$1,420	2022 Additions & Alterations CASE report
	R-60 vs R-38	\$1,096	
Slab Edge Insulation	R-10 vs R-0	\$651	\$4/linear foot of slab perimeter based on internet research.

Distribution Measures

		Lifecycle	
Measure	Performance Level	Incremental Cost	Source & Notes
Ducts	Buried, compact ducts	\$0	No cost for laying ducts on attic floor versus suspending, in some cases there will be cost savings.
Low Pressure Drop Ducts	0.35 W/cfm vs 0.45 W/cfm	\$108	1 hour labor for larger ductwork
DHW Distribution	Basic compact distribution	\$168	20ft venting at \$12/ft to locate water heater on interior garage wall, less 20ft savings from pex and pipe insulation at \$4.88/ft. Online retailers.

PV & Battery Costs

		Lifecycle			
Measure	Performance Level	Incremental Cost	Source & Notes		
	First Cost, per Watt	\$3.61	Tracking the Sun 2021. \$3.90/W California cost less average ITC of 7% (22% in 2023, 0% in 2024/2025)		
PV	Inverter replacement, per Watt	\$0.14 (Present Value)	E3 2019 Solar PV CASE report. Replacement at years 10 and 20.		
	Maintenance, per Watt	\$0.31 (Present Value)	E3 2019 Solar PV CASE report		
Battery	First cost, per kWh	\$694	2020 Battery Reach Code report		
	Replacement cost, per kWh	\$5 84	2020 Battery Reach Code report. Replacement at years 10 and 20.		

All-Electric vs Mixed Fuel Costs

- Average gas infrastructure costs applied in analysis
- Assumes joint trenching of gas and electric utilities
 - Trenching costs excluded

Gas Infrastructure	Average (80% New, 20% Infill)	New	Infill
Main Extension	\$1,020	\$1,020	\$1,020
(After Rule 15 50% refund)	(\$510)	(\$510)	(\$510)
Service Extension	\$2,390	\$1,300	\$6 <i>,</i> 750
(After Appliance Deductions)	(\$1,817)	(\$727)	(\$6,177)
Plan Review Costs	\$300	\$300	\$300
Meter	\$850	\$850	\$850
Total First Cost (Customer) Total First Cost (TDV)	\$3,477 \$4,560	\$2,387 \$3.470	\$7,837 \$8.920

Appliances	Incremental Cost				
	First	Replacement	Total		
Heat Pump vs Gas Furnace/Split AC	(\$201)	\$474	\$273		
Heat Pump Water Heater vs Gas Tankless	(\$200)	\$478	\$278		
Electric Resistance vs Gas Clothes Dryer	(\$465)	\$0	(\$465)		
Electric Resistance vs Gas Cooking	(\$105)	\$0	(\$105)		



2022 Energy Code

2022 Code & Heat Pump Baseline

- Heat pumps are prescriptive baseline
 - Heat pump water heater in CZs 1,2,5-12,15-16
 - Heat pump space heater in CZs 3,4,13,14
- Mandatory requirements
 - Pre-wiring required for gas appliances
 - Higher ventilation rate for gas stoves

Performance credit for all-electric design





2022 Metrics

- Updated Time Dependent Valuation (TDV) multipliers
 - Updated weather files
- Introduction of a new source energy metric tracks GHG emissions
- Two Energy Design Ratings (EDR)
 - EDR2 based on time dependent valuation (TDV), similar to "EDR" in the 2019 code
 - EDR1 is new and based on source energy tracks GHG emissions



All-Electric Code Compliant

- In absence of an efficiency reach code projects can reduce envelope performance and still comply with code.
 - In CZs 1-4,6-7,11-13 can remove rigid wall insulation and still meet code.
 - Not compliant if remove rigid wall and roof deck insulation, but close in some CZs.

	E	fficiency EDR2 (1	FDV)
Climate Zone	All-Electric Prescriptive	Remove rigid wall insulation	Remove rigid wall & roof deck insulation
1	7.3	2.9	n/a
2	5.6	3.0	n/a
3	4.3	1.8	n/a
4	3.7	1.4	-0.6
5	0.9	-1.7	n/a
6	2.5	0.5	n/a
7	2.1	0.3	n/a
8	0.6	-1.1	-2.3
9	1.1	-0.8	-2.4
10	1.2	-0.9	-2.7
11	3.5	1.2	-1.2
12	4.1	1.8	-0.1
13	2.1	0.0	-1.5
14	1.7	-0.8	-3.4
15	-0.1	-1.1	-2.3
16	-4.6	-9.1	-11.4



Results

All-Electric Prescriptive

- Prescriptive package except CZ 15, & 16,
 - CZ15: Add compact distribution
 - CZ16: Add high performance windows

	Climate	Electric/	Total EDR1	Efficiency EDR2	On-	Bill	2022	TDV
	Zone	Gas Utility	Margin	Margin	B/C Ratio	NPV	B/C Ratio	NPV
	1	PGE	24.3	7.3	0.4	(\$6,807)	>1	\$5,997
	2	PGE	12.8	5.6	0.4	(\$5,589)	>1	\$6,140
	3	PGE	7.8	4.3	0.5	(\$4,789)	27.0	\$5,174
	4	PGE	8.1	3.7	0.5	(\$3,709)	>1	\$5,816
	4	CPAU	8.1	3.7	>1	\$6,396	>1	\$5,816
ance	5	PGE	5.4	0.9	0.5	(\$4,607)	2.9	\$3,495
	5	PGE/SCG	5.4	0.9	0.5	(\$4,596)	2.9	\$3,495
	6	SCE/SCG	3.8	2.5	0.9	(\$645)	3.7	\$3,942
	7	SDGE	2.4	2.1	0.4	(\$5,771)	3.5	\$3,846
	8	SCE/SCG	1.8	0.6	0.9	(\$421)	3.3	\$3,740
	9	SCE/SCG	3.0	1.1	0.9	(\$654)	3.8	\$3,965
	10	SCE/SCG	4.2	1.2	0.8	(\$1,219)	4.2	\$4,087
	10	SDGE	4.2	1.2	0.3	(\$10,212)	4.2	\$4,087
	11	PGE	10.5	3.5	0.6	(\$2,566)	>1	\$5,960
	12	PGE	10.5	4.1	0.6	(\$2,711)	>1	\$5,812
	12	SMUD/PGE	10.5	4.1	>1	\$9,050	>1	\$5,812
	13	PGE	7.5	2.1	0.7	(\$1,586)	>1	\$5,811
	14	SCE/SCG	7.7	1.7	0.7	(\$2,048)	>1	\$5,421
	14	SDGE	7.7	1.7	0.3	(\$12,617)	>1	\$5,421
	15	SCE/SCG	0.4	0.2	0.9	(\$229)	3.2	\$3,593
	16	PGE	23.0	5.2	0.3	(\$4,901)	>1	\$3,269

On-Bill Comparison All-Electric Efficiency, PV, & Battery

- Adding efficiency can improve cost-effectiveness
- Cost-effective when PV capacity increased to offset 90% of estimated annual electricity use
 - PV utility costs based on current NEM 2.0
 - To update once updated NEM ruling is finalized
- 10kWh battery reduces costeffectiveness

		<u>Prescr</u>	<u>iptive</u>	<u>Effici</u>	ency	<u>Effici</u> <u>& N</u>	ency EEA	<u>Effici</u> <u>&</u>	<u>ency</u> PV	<u>Efficie</u> PV, &	ncy, Bat	
Climate	Electric/	ctric/ On-Bill		On-	On-Bill		On-Bill		On-Bill		On-Bill	
Zone	Gas Utility	B/C Ratio	NPV	B/C Ratio	NPV	B/C Ratio	NPV	B/C Ratio	NPV	B/C Ratio	NPV	
1	PGE	0.4	(\$6,807)	0.6	(\$1,968)	2.5	\$1,434	>1	\$30,417	2.8	\$18,551	
2	PGE	0.4	(\$5,589)	0.4	(\$2,908)	0.7	(\$944)	>1	\$18,933	1.7	\$7,179	
3	PGE	0.5	(\$4,789)	0.4	(\$3,539)	0.7	(\$846)	>1	\$13,682	1.2	\$1,935	
4	PGE	0.5	(\$3,709)	0.4	(\$3,281)	0.5	(\$2,007)	>1	\$11,570	1.0	(\$53)	
4	CPAU	>1	\$6,396	>1	\$5,635	>1	\$6,241	>1	\$11,371	1.0	\$76	
5	PGE	0.5	(\$4,607)	0.5	(\$3,356)	0.8	(\$625)	>1	\$13,398	1.2	\$1,653	
5	PGE/SCG	0.5	(\$4,596)	0.5	(\$3,345)	0.8	(\$614)	>1	\$13,409	1.2	\$1,663	
6	SCE/SCG	0.9	(\$645)	0.7	(\$1,152)	0.9	(\$301)	>1	\$7,261	1.0	(\$127)	
7	SDGE	0.4	(\$5,771)	0.4	(\$5,156)	0.4	(\$3,931)	>1	\$11,634	1.3	\$2,839	
8	SCE/SCG	0.9	(\$421)	0.8	(\$741)	1.0	(\$43)	>1	\$6,204	0.9	(\$641)	
9	SCE/SCG	0.9	(\$654)	0.8	(\$877)	1.0	(\$107)	>1	\$7,017	1.0	\$129	
10	SCE/SCG	0.8	(\$1,219)	0.7	(\$1,263)	0.9	(\$394)	>1	\$7,507	1.1	\$1,078	
10	SDGE	0.3	(\$10,212)	0.2	(\$9,305)	0.2	(\$7,973)	>1	\$11,920	1.4	\$3,928	
11	PGE	0.6	(\$2,566)	0.8	(\$619)	1.7	\$797	>1	\$16,506	1.5	\$5,483	
12	PGE	0.6	(\$2,711)	0.5	(\$1,842)	0.9	(\$298)	>1	\$16,431	1.5	\$5,009	
12	SMUD/PGE	>1	\$9,050	>1	\$7,947	>1	\$8,379	>1	\$15,891	1.4	\$4,158	
13	PGE	0.7	(\$1,586)	1.0	\$68	2.8	\$1,196	>1	\$12,617	1.2	\$1,801	
14	SCE/SCG	0.7	(\$2,048)	0.9	(\$114)	>1	\$1,323	>1	\$13,034	1.6	\$7,205	
14	SDGE	0.3	(\$12,617)	0.1	(\$8,789)	0.2	(\$5,555)	>1	\$23,366	2.0	\$11,238	
15	SCE/SCG	0.9	(\$229)	2.2	\$1,106	6.0	\$1,562	>1	\$2,084	0.7	(\$2,872)	
16	PGE	0.3	(\$4,901)	0.2	(\$3,440)	0.2	(\$2,103)	>1	\$26,914	2.3	\$15,312	

Mixed Fuel Packages

- Efficiency package costeffective in many scenarios
- PV capacity increased to offse 100% of estimated annual electricity use
- 10kWh battery reduces costeffectiveness

					Effic	<u>iency</u>	Effic &	<u>iency</u> <u>PV</u>	<u>Effici</u> PV, 8	<u>ency,</u> & Bat
	Climate	Electric/	Total	Efficiency	On	Bill	On-Bill		On-Bill	
	Zone	Gas Utility	EDR1 Margin	EDR2 Margin	B/C Ratio	NPV	B/C Ratio	NPV	B/C Ratio	NPV
et	1	PGE	17.2	22.1	3.1	\$3,651	2.2	\$8,299	0.9	(\$2,664)
	2	PGE	14.4	14.2	1.9	\$1,751	2.0	\$4,974	0.6	(\$6,425)
	3	PGE	12.9	10.8	1.4	\$713	1.9	\$3,698	0.5	(\$7,663)
	4	PGE	13.5	9.8	1.0	\$18	1.5	\$2,068	0.4	(\$9,413)
	4	CPAU	13.5	9.8	0.5	(\$949)	0.8	(\$935)	0.2	(\$11,917)
	5	PGE	14.5	10.6	1.5	\$607	2.0	\$4,119	0.5	(\$7,425)
	5	PGE/SCG	14.5	10.6	1.4	\$408	2.0	\$3,920	0.5	(\$7,624)
	6	SCE/SCG	18.2	9.7	0.7	(\$574)	1.5	\$1,770	0.5	(\$7,154)
	7	SDGE	18.6	8.1	1.5	\$503	2.8	\$5,787	0.6	(\$6,282)
	8	SCE/SCG	17.1	9.7	0.8	(\$321)	1.5	\$1,666	0.6	(\$6,243)
	9	SCE/SCG	16.4	8.8	0.8	(\$240)	1.6	\$1,907	0.6	(\$6,058)
	10	SCE/SCG	15.0	8.9	0.9	(\$107)	1.6	\$1,958	0.6	(\$5,420)
	10	SDGE	15.0	8.9	1.5	\$817	2.4	\$4,645	0.5	(\$7,039)
	11	PGE	13.2	11.3	1.9	\$2,016	2.1	\$4,627	0.6	(\$5,857)
	12	PGE	13.4	11.3	1.4	\$791	1.8	\$3,631	0.5	(\$7,601)
	12	SMUD/PGE	13.4	11.3	1.0	(\$23)	1.1	\$343	0.4	(\$10,363)
	13	PGE	12.8	9.9	1.7	\$1,663	2.2	\$4,948	0.7	(\$4,676)
	14	SCE/SCG	14.0	11.4	1.6	\$1,610	2.0	\$5,460	1.0	(\$819)
	14	SDGE	14.0	11.4	2.5	\$4,364	2.4	\$7,946	0.8	(\$3,494)
	15	SCE/SCG	14.0	8.7	1.6	\$1,351	1.6	\$1,481	0.8	(\$1,981)
	16	PGE	20.9	23.0	1.9	\$3,205	2.1	\$7,883	0.8	(\$3,301)

Mixed Fuel Efficiency, PV, & Battery

- 10kWh battery with Advanced DR control
- Not On-Bill cost effective anywhere
 - Cost of battery is expensive

	Climate	Electric/	Total EDR1	Efficiency EDR2	On-Bill		2022	TDV
ced	Zone	Gas Utility	Margin	Margin	B/C Ratio	NPV	B/C Ratio	NPV
	1	PGE	24.3	7.3	0.9	(\$2,664)	1.0	\$27
	2	PGE	12.8	5.6	0.6	(\$6,425)	1.3	\$4,243
	3	PGE	7.8	4.3	0.5	(\$7,663)	1.1	\$883
	4	PGE	8.1	3.7	0.4	(\$9,413)	1.1	\$1,099
	4	CPAU	8.1	3.7	0.2	(\$11,917)	1.1	\$1,099
	5	PGE	5.4	0.9	0.6	(\$6,553)	1.1	\$1,246
	5	PGE/SCG	5.4	0.9	0.5	(\$6,752)	1.1	\$1,246
	6	SCE/SCG	3.8	2.5	0.5	(\$7,154)	1.1	\$928
	7	SDGE	2.4	2.1	0.6	(\$5,410)	1.0	\$547
	8	SCE/SCG	1.8	0.6	0.6	(\$6,243)	1.1	\$1,865
	9	SCE/SCG	3.0	1.1	0.6	(\$6,058)	1.3	\$3,779
	10	SCE/SCG	4.2	1.2	0.6	(\$5,420)	1.0	\$557
	10	SDGE	4.2	1.2	0.5	(\$7,039)	1.0	\$557
	11	PGE	10.5	3.5	0.6	(\$5,857)	1.2	\$3,868
	12	PGE	10.5	4.1	0.5	(\$7,601)	1.2	\$2,871
	12	SMUD/PGE	10.5	4.1	0.4	(\$10,363)	1.2	\$2,871
	13	PGE	7.5	2.1	0.7	(\$4,676)	1.3	\$4,440
	14	SCE/SCG	7.7	1.7	1.0	(\$819)	1.2	\$3,639
	14	SDGE	7.7	1.7	0.8	(\$3,494)	1.2	\$3,639
	15	SCE/SCG	0.4	0.2	0.8	(\$1,981)	1.2	\$2,786
	16	PGE	23.0	5.2	0.8	(\$3,301)	1.3	\$5,815

Greenhouse Gas Emissions



EDR Target Recommendations

• Based on Efficiency EDR2 (TDV) only

All-Electric Required & All-Electric Preferred

Slight lift for all-electric to preserve the 2019 envelope

Mixed-Fuel (2022 Baseline)

Efficiency, PV, & Battery

Efficiency

• Efficiency & PV

All-Electric Preferred

• Significant reach for mixed fuel



- <u>All-Electric</u>
- Prescriptive
- Efficiency
- Efficiency & NEEA HPWH
- Efficiency & PV
- Efficiency, PV, & Battery



Next Steps

Next Steps

- Developing report
- 625 sqft ADU analysis underway
- Update simulations as necessary based on new software versions



Ordinance Options and Considerations

Reach Code Process



New Construction Ordinance Approaches

	Efficiency	Electric- Preferred	Electric Only		Electric Only Plus Efficiency
			Natural Gas Moratorium	Electric Only	
Mechanism	Energy Code	Energy Code	Jurisdictional authority (e.g., Health and Safety)	CALGreen	(Jurisdictional authority or CALGreen) plus Energy Code
Requirements	All new construction exceeds minimum energy code	Only mixed fuel buildings exceed minimum energy code	No new gas infrastructure (Hookups or Piping)	All new construction is electric only	All new construction is electric only AND exceeds minimum
Considerations	Simplicity Preserves choice Specific measures	Preserves Choice Lower GHG Savings	Longest Lasting	Must be renewed	Biggest impact Must be renewed

From a Study to an Ordinance

- Customize policy options for your jurisdiction
- Estimate GHG, energy and cost impacts
- Download model ordinance language
- Compare policy impacts
- Share with colleagues

Policy Options	
City/County City of Chula Vista	New Construction Existing Filter by Building type Fuel Type
Policy options are a easy way to forecast results for your city or county. Start by selecting a	an option that matches the strategies you have:
Efficiency Only	Select Template More Info •
Require both fuel types to achieve a higher compliance margin.	
Single-family Multi-family 8 NonResidential	
Max Electric Preference Encourage more buildings to choose all-electric by requiring mixed-fuel buildings to achi backsliding below 2019 code. Single-family Multi-family 8 NonResidential	ieve the highest possible compliance margin. Require small lift in all-electric to prevent
Electric Only	
Require all new buildings to be all-electric and achieve a small lift to prevent backsliding	; below 2019 code.
Multi-family 8 NonResidential	
Electric Only Plus Efficiency RECOMENDED	
Require all new buildings to be all-electric, and achieve a higher compliance margin.	
8 NonResidential	



explorer.localenergycodes.com

Code Minimum vs Efficient Designs



- AE = All-electric prescriptive
- EE = Energy efficiency
- PV = Photovoltaic system

Efficiency and Electrification



Source: CEC staff

Rider: Energy Efficiency is Critical for a Decarbonized Future

Thank You!



localenergycodes.com

We Appreciate your time!

- Alea German: <u>agerman@frontierenergy.com</u>
- Ada Liu: <u>aliu@frontierenergy.com</u>
- Misti Bruceri: <u>mistib@mbaenergy.com</u>

Contact us at <u>info@localenergycodes.com</u> for additional information.

explorer.localenergycodes.com

This program is funded by the California utility customers under the auspices of the California Public Utilities Commission.